COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 5-156

"Adult Protective Services Act of 1984".

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Self-Government and Governmental Reorganization Act, P. L. 93-198, "the Act", the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill No. 5-334 on first and second readings, November 20, 1984, and December 4, 1984, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on December 7, 1984, this legislation was assigned Act No. 5-221, published in the January 4, 1985, edition of the D.C. Register, (Vol. 32 page 13) and transmitted to Congress on January 8, 1985 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602 (c)(1) of the Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional Review Period has expired, and therefore, cites this enactment as D.C. Law 5-156, effective March 14, 1985.

DAVID A. QLARKE Chairman of the Council

Dates Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

January 22,23,24,25,28,29,30,31

February 1,4,5,6,7,19,20,21,22,25,26,27,28

March 1,4,5,6,7,8,11,12,13

MAR 1 4 1985

AN ACT

QC. ACT 5 - 221

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DEC 0 7 1984

To address instances of abuse, neglect, and exploitation of impaired adults by establishing a system of reporting, requiring the investigation of each report received, and ensuring the availability of protective services.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this act may be cited as the "Adult Protective Services Act of 1984".

Sec. 2. Definitions.

When used in this act, the following terms shall have the meanings ascribed by this section:

New, D.C. Code, m 6-2501 (1985 supp.)

- (1) "Abuse" means:
- (A) the intentional or reckless infliction of serious physical pain or injury;
- (B) the use or threatened use of violence to force participation in "sexual conduct," defined in D.C. Code, sec. 22-2011(5);
- (C) the repeated, intentional imposition of unreasonable confinement, resulting in severe mental distress;
- (D) the repeated use of threats or violence, resulting in shock or an intense, expressed fear for one's life or of serious physical injury; or
 - (E) the intentional or deliberately

indifferent deprivation of essential food, shelter, or health care in violation of a caregiver's responsibilities, when that deprivation constitutes a serious threat to one's life or physical health.

- (2) "Adult in need of protective services" means an individual aged 18 or older who is:
- (A) highly vulnerable to abuse, neglect, or exploitation because of a physical or mental impairment;
- (B) being or has recently been abused, neglected, or exploited by another; and
- (C) likely to continue being abused, neglected, or exploited by others because he or she has no one willing and able to provide adequate protection.
- (3) "Adult protective services worker" [APS worker] means an employee of the District or a private social services agency under contract with the District who conducts investigations or provides protective services under this act.
- (4) "Caregiver" means a person that, by law, contract, court order, or voluntary action, is charged with or has assumed the responsibility for an adult's essential food, shelter, or health-care needs.
- (5) "Court" means the Superior Court of the District of Columbia.
- (6) "Department" means the District of Columbia
 Department of Human Services.
 - (7) "District" means the District of Columbia.
 - (8) "Exploitation" means the unlawful

appropriation or use of another's "property," defined in D.C. Code, sec. 22-3801, for one's own benefit or that of a third person.

- (9) "Neglect" means:
- (A) the repeated, careless infliction of serious physical pain or injury;
- (B) the repeated failure of a caregiver to take reasonable steps, within the purview of his or her responsibilities, to protect against acts of abuse described in paragraph (1)(B);
- (C) the repeated, careless imposition of unreasonable confinement, resulting in severe mental distress; or
- (D) the careless deprivation of essential food, shelter, or health care in violation of a caregiver's responsibilities, when that deprivation constitutes a serious threat to one's life or physical health.
- (10) "Person" means an individual, facility, agency, corporation, partnership, the District government, or any other organizational entity.
- (11) "Police" means the Metropolitan Police
 Department of the District of Columbia.
- or provisions reasonably calculated to remedy or substantially reduce the likelihood of abuse, neglect, or exploitation by another, including, but not limited to: food, heat, shelter, clothing, health care, home care, counseling, legal assistance, and social casework.

abus that

give by a

.

subs

negl

lif€

a cc

guai

pro:

resi

sub

pro

he

wit

Cas

rea

ser

acc

(a)

pro

New, D.C. Code, sec. 6-2502 (1985 supp.)

This act shall apply only to cases of an individual's abuse, neglect, or exploitation by another. To the extent that an individual's abuse or neglect of himself or herself gives rise to instances of abuse, neglect, or exploitation by another, the purpose of protective services shall be to substantially reduce the likelihood of further abuse, neglect, or exploitation by others while simultaneously respecting an adult's right to determine his or her own lifestyle.

Sec. 4. Reporting.

- (a)(1) Except as provided in subsection (b), whenever a conservator, court-appointed mental retardation advocate, guardian, health-care administrator, licensed health professional, police officer, or social worker has as a result of his or her appointment, employment, or practice substantial cause to believe that an adult is in need of protective services because of abuse or neglect by another, he or she shall immediately report this belief in accordance with subsection (c).
- (2) Any person may voluntarily report an alleged case of abuse, neglect, or exploitation when he or she has reason to believe that an adult is in need of protective services. Voluntary reporting shall also be effected in accordance with subsection (c).
- (b) The duty to report established by subsection(a)(1) shall not apply to a social worker or licensed healthprofessional who has as a client or patient, or is employed

New, D.C. Code, sec. 6-2503 (1985 supp.) by a lawyer representing, the third person who is allegedly responsible for the abuse or neglect.

- either oral or written and shall be transmitted to the division within the Department designated by the Mayor to receive these reports. Each report shall include, if known: the name, age, physical description, and location of the adult alleged to be in need of protective services; the name and location of the person(s) allegedly responsible for the abuse, neglect, or exploitation; the nature and extent of the abuse, neglect, or exploitation; the basis of the reporter's knowledge; and any other information the reporter believes might be helpful to an investigation. A reporter may be required to identify himself or herself only when obliged to report under subsection (a)(1).
- (d)(1) The Department shall maintain a record of all reports received and be capable of receiving reports 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (including holidays). Except as provided in paragraph (4), the Department may release reports and investigative information acquired pursuant to this act only:
- (A) to another public or private agency designated by the Mayor to conduct investigations or provide protective services under this act;
- (B) to the Corporation Counsel or United

 States Attorney if requested for an investigation,

 prosecution, or civil or administrative enforcement action;

- (C) if directed by court order.
- (2) A recipient of a report or investigative information released pursuant to paragraph (1)(A)-(C) shall be subject to the same restrictions on disclosure applicable to the Department under that paragraph.
- investigative information acquired pursuant to this act shall take reasonable steps to prevent the disclosure of information that might reveal the reporter's identity to the person(s) allegedly responsible for the abuse, neglect, or exploitation.
- (4) The Department may release statistics and other data acquired pursuant to this act for research, reporting, or educational purposes provided all identifying references to individuals are deleted.
- (e) No later than August 15, 1985, the Mayor shall widely publicize the phone number and mailing address of the division within the Department designated to receive reports under this section, and shall establish a program to educate those persons required to report under subsection (a)(1) about their obligations under this section.

Sec. 5. <u>Investigations</u>.

(a)(1) In accordance with this section and the rules to be issued by the Mayor pursuant to section 10, the Department shall, except as provided in paragraph (2), either investigate each report received or refer a report for investigation to another public or private agency designated by the Mayor.

New, D.C.Code, sec. 6-2504 (1985 supp.) (A) fails to allege facts that, if proved, would be sufficient to support the conclusion that the alleged victim is an "adult in need of protective services" as that term is defined in section 2(2); or

- (B) is substantively repetitive of a previously reported incidence of abuse, neglect, or exploitation.
- immediate, substantial risk of life-threatening harm to an adult in need of protective services, the Department shall immediately notify the police, who shall conduct a prompt investigation to determine the need for police intervention. In addition, within 24 hours of the Department's receiving such a report, an APS worker shall commence an investigation to determine the need for protective services. These two investigations may be conducted either jointly or separately.
- of protective services but do not allege the existence of an immediate, substantial risk of life-threatening harm, an APS worker shall commence an investigation to determine the need for protective services within 10 days (excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays) of the Department's receiving the report.
- (5) In accordance with procedures to be established under section 10(1), the Mayor shall ensure

that poli

inve

appr

serv

this

ACCC

offi

OLLI

in r

pres

priv

adul

the

APS

int:

.

be '

pā :

per

tak if

Dep

req

ord

Cou

leç

par

that, when appropriate, an APS worker is accompanied by a police officer while conducting an initial or follow-up investigation.

Tr.

- (b) Before entering a residence or otherwise approaching an adult who is allegedly in need of protective services, an APS worker conducting an investigation under this section shall first announce his or her purpose and, if accompanied by a police officer, the presence of that officer, and then secure the consents of the adult allegedly in need of protective services and any other adult who is present and appears to have a reasonable expectation of privacy in the residence or immediate premises. If the adult allegedly in need of protective services objects to the investigation and it does not manifestly appear to the APS worker that the objection is prompted by fear or intimidation instilled by another, the investigation shall be terminated. If the objection manifestly appears prompted by fear or intimidation instilled by another, or if another person on the premises refuses to allow the investigation to take place, the Department may, either on its own behalf or, if the APS worker is employed by an agency other than the Department, on behalf of the other investigating agency, request the Corporation Counsel to petition for an ex parte order pursuant to subsection (c).
 - (c) If requested by the Department, the Corporation Counsel shall promptly conduct a factual inquiry and, if legally supportable, file a petition in court for an exparte order enjoining persons other than the adult who is

allegedly in need of protective services from directly or indirectly interfering with the investigation. The petition shall allege specific facts, supported by oath or affirmation, showing that:

- (1) there is probable cause to believe an adult located at a specified location is in need of protective services; and
- (2) an APS worker conducting an investigation was denied reasonable access to the adult by a third person, or, if the adult objected to the investigation, there is probable cause to believe the objection was prompted by fear or intimidation instilled by another.
- (d) If the court finds that a proper showing has been made under subsection (c)(1)-(2), it shall enjoin the appropriate third person(s) from interfering with an investigation under this section. The court may also order any other relief deemed necessary to facilitate an investigation, but in so doing it shall fully respect the right of an adult who is allegedly in need of protective services to freely object to and terminate that investigation.
- (e) The scope of an investigation under this section shall be only that which is minimally necessary for an APS worker to determine whether an adult is in need of protective services, and, if so, what protective services are needed to remedy or substantially reduce the likelihood of abuse, neglect, or exploitation by others.
 - Sec. 6. Provision of Protective Services.

servic

under

in ne€

a per:

provi:

affin

perso

not i

other

reasc

undel

the |

prov

for

This

serv

actu

are

seci

in

det

and

New, D.C. cod 6-2505 (1985 st

- ed Original
- (a)(1) The Department shall ensure that protective services are promptly provided if:
- (A) after an APS worker conducts an investigation under section 5, the Department determines that an adult is in need of protective services;
- (B) the adult in need of protective services, or a person authorized by law or court order to consent to the provision of protective services on behalf of the adult, affirmatively consents to the particular services offered;
- (C) reasonable access is not denied by a third person; and
- (D) the adult in need of protective services, if not indigent and exigent circumstances do not dictate otherwise, agrees to reimburse the District or make a reasonable contribution pursuant to the rules to be issued under section 10(3).
- the provision of protective services shall, except as provided in section 13(c)(1), not exceed 90 days of services for each adult found to be in need of protective services. This 90-day limitation shall begin with the first day of services, apply to only those days on which services are actually provided, and include any days on which services are provided under a protection order issued pursuant to section 7 or 8. The Department's decision to limit services in a particular case to fewer than 90 days, its determination as to the type or level of services provided, and allegations of noncompliance with this act are

ode, sec.

judicially reviewable only in accordance with section 13(c)(1).

- (b) If an adult in need of protective services objects to the provision of particular services and it does not manifestly appear to the APS worker that the objection is prompted by fear or intimidation instilled by another, the adult shall be entitled to refuse those services and this right of refusal shall be fully respected.
- (c) In any case that does not meet the requirements of subsection (a)(1)(A)-(D), the Department or other agency designated by the Mayor may provide protective services only after the Corporation Counsel obtains a protection order pursuant to section 7 or 8.
- (d) In accordance with procedures to be established under section 10(1), the Mayor shall ensure that, when appropriate, an APS worker is accompanied by a police officer while providing protective services.
- (e) When determining the appropriateness of particular services, the Department or other designated agency shall first consider those protective services that encourage maximum self-determination and are least restrictive of personal liberty.

Sec. 7. Provisional Protection Order.

(a) If requested by the Department, either on its own behalf or on behalf of another public or private agency designated by the Mayor, the Corporation Counsel shall promptly conduct a factual inquiry and, if legally supportable, file a petition in court for a provisional

New, D.C. Code, #6-2506 (1985 supp.) protection order. That petition shall state, insofar as the facts can be ascertained with reasonable diligence:

d Original

de, sec.

 $p_{\mathbf{p}}$.)

- (1) the name, age, physical description, and location of the adult determined by the Department to be in need of protective services;
- (2) whether the adult and any other person(s) expected to be a party to the proceeding are indigent and therefore entitled to the appointment of counsel under subsection (b);
- (3) facts to substantiate that the adult is in need of protective services;
- (4) the particular protective services or other relief sought to remedy or substantially reduce the likelihood of abuse, neglect, or exploitation by another; and
- (5) depending on the circumstances, facts to substantiate that:
- (A) failure of the adult in need of protective services to either object or affirmatively consent to those services does not constitute a knowing and voluntary decision to refuse services, but rather is the result of the adult's inability to consent due to extreme physical or mental impairment;
- (B) the adult in need of protective services has objected to the provision of those services out of fear or intimidation instilled by another; or
- (C) reasonable access to the adult in need of protective services has been denied by a third person.

(b) Unless continued by the court, a nonjury hearing shall be held on a petition for a provisional protection order within 15 days (excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays) after it is filed with the court. The Corporation Counsel shall ensure that, at least 10 days (excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays) before the hearing, notice of the hearing date and a copy of the petition are served on the adult in need of protective services and, if applicable and ascertainable with reasonable diligence, the person(s) allegedly responsible for the abuse, neglect, or exploitation, for using fear or intimidation to coerce the adult's objection to the provision of services, or for denying an APS worker reasonable access to the adult. Notice shall be effected by personal service, substitute service on a person of suitable age and discretion at the usual place of abode or employment of the person to be notified, or registered or certified mail. Pursuant to rules to be established by the court, subpoenas shall issue directing the appearance of persons whose presence at the hearing is essential to the relief sought in the petition. The adult in need of protective services and any other person on whom notice is served under this subsection shall have the right to retain counsel and, if indigent, to have counsel promptly appointed by the court upon the Corporation Counsel's filing of a petition under subsection (a). Every effort shall be made to secure the presence of the adult in need of protective services at the hearing; failure of the adult to appear shall be explained

by f part cros

exp

alle

exc

ina

hav

and

se:

ap]

COI

pu ex

am

re fc

ac sl

tl

h

*

parties to the proceeding may present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Testimony of the person(s) allegedly responsible for the abuse, neglect, or exploitation, and the fruits of that testimony, shall be inadmissible as evidence in a subsequent criminal trial except in a prosecution for perjury or false statement.

- (c) The court shall maintain a register of lawyers who have expressed an interest in representing indigent persons entitled to the appointment of counsel under subsection (b), and shall attempt insofar as possible to make appointments from this register. Publicly funded or pro bono legal services shall be considered and given priority by the court. If such services are unavailable, compensation for appointed counsel shall be at the hourly rate established pursuant to D.C. Code, sec. 11-2604(a), and, unless expressly waived by the court, shall be subject to a maximum amount of \$750 per proceeding. Counsel shall also be reimbursed for expenses reasonably incurred. Compensation for investigative, expert, and other services shall be in accordance with D.C. Code, sec. 11-2605. This subsection shall be implemented pursuant to procedures established by the court.
 - (d) If the court finds that the Corporation Counsel has proven the averments in the petition by a preponderance of the evidence, it may:
 - (1) direct any person to refrain from abusing, neglecting, exploiting, directly or indirectly interfering

with the provision of services to, residing with, or otherwise contacting the adult in need of protective services;

- (2) direct a caregiver to fulfill his, her, or its legal or contractual responsibilities, or to refrain from inadequately carrying out voluntarily assumed responsibilities;
- (3) direct the Mayor to petition for the appointment of a conservator or guardian;
- (4) direct the Mayor to provide specified or unspecified protective services, including at night and on weekends if necessary: Provided, That the court shall not direct the Mayor to provide a type of service not otherwise made available by the District government;
- appropriate, another party to the proceeding to remove the adult in need of protective services to a hospital, nursing home, community residence facility, hospice, or other appropriate facility (except a facility or part of a facility that has as its principal purpose the diagnosis and treatment of mental illnesses and disorders), so long as the placement is the least restrictive setting available in which the adult's needs can be adequately met;
- (6) direct any person to pay or reimburse the District, in accordance with section 11, for relief granted under paragraph (4) or (5); or
 - (7) direct any combination of the above.
 - (e) When granting relief under subsection (d)(3)-(5),

the court shall first consider those remedies that encourage maximum self-determination and are least restrictive of personal liberty. In so doing, the court shall adopt a strong, rebuttable presumption in favor of home care over institutionalization. Secondarily, the court shall inquire about and take into consideration potential expense to the District.

- (f) The court may modify or rescind a provisional protection order upon the motion of any party to the original proceeding and for good cause shown. Except as provided in subsection (g), a provisional protection order granting the relief in subsection (d)(4) or (5) shall remain effective as to that relief for such period up to 45 calendar days as the court may specify, except that on the motion of any party to the original proceeding and for good cause shown, the court may grant a single extension for a period not to exceed an additional 45 calendar days. This 90-day time limitation may not be circumvented by the attempted issuance of a new order or reissuance of the original order before or after the extension period has expired. Relief granted under subsection (d)(1)-(3) and (6)shall remain effective for such period as the court may specify.
 - (g) When determining the duration of a provisional protection order that directs the Mayor to provide protective services, the court shall take into account the number of days, if any, that the District has already provided services pursuant to section 6(a) or 8, and in no

New,

event shall the issuance of a protection order result in the District's being required to provide more than 90 days of services in any particular case.

- Sec. 8. Ex Parte Temporary Protection Order.
- (a) If a petition filed in accordance with section 7(a) is supported by affidavit and alleges the existence of an immediate, substantial risk of life-threatening harm to an adult who the Department has determined is in need of protective services, the court may, upon a finding of probable cause and in accordance with section 7(e), grant any relief listed in section 7(d) immediately and without a hearing by issuing an exparte temporary protection order. The Corporation Counsel shall ensure that, within 48 hours after the issuance of such an order, notice of the hearing date and copies of the petition, supporting affidavit(s), and order are served on the same parties and in the same
- (b) An ex parte temporary protection order shall remain effective for such period as the court may specify until a hearing is held and the petition for a provisional protection order granted or denied pursuant to section 7. The court may modify or rescind an ex parte temporary protection order upon the motion of any person and for good cause shown.

Sec. 9. Qualified Immunity.

manner described in section 7(b).

Any person who reports an alleged case of abuse, neglect, or exploitation pursuant to section 4 shall be immune from civil or criminal liability for so reporting if

D.C. Code, 6-2507 (1985 supp.)

New, D.C. Code, sec 6-2508 (1985 supp.) he, she, or it has acted in good faith.

Sec. 10. Rules.

p.)

sec.

)

New, D.C. Code, sec. 6-2509 (1985 supp.)

The Mayor shall, no later than October 1, 1985, and pursuant to title I of the District of Columbia

Administrative Procedure Act, approved October 21, 1968 (82 Stat. 1204; D.C. Code, sec. 1-1501 et seq.), issue rules deemed necessary to carry out the purposes of this act.

These rules shall at a minimum include procedures to ensure:

- (1) the effective coordination of investigative efforts by the police and the Department and the availability of police assistance should it be required, pursuant to sections 5(a) and 6(d);
- (2) the effective coordination of interdepartmental resources and actions when a report made to the Department alleges that an individual, facility, or agency licensed by the Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs is responsible for the abuse, neglect, or exploitation of an impaired adult; and
- otherwise, nonindigent adults in need of protective services and persons legally responsible for providing any or all of the services provided or contracted for by the District reimburse the District for, or make a reasonable contribution toward, the cost of providing those services.
- Sec. 11. Payment or Reimbursement for Cost of Protective Services.

(a) In implementing section 10(3), the Mayor may establish a sliding scale based on one's ability to pay. No

New, D.C. Code, sec. 6-2510 (1985 supp.)

adult in need of protective services shall be denied those services because he or she is unable to pay for them or because a person (other than the adult) who is legally responsible for providing any or all of the services refuses to reimburse the District or contribute to their cost. Corporation Counsel may, either as part of a proceeding for a protection order or in an independent court action, seek an order directing the adult in need of protective services or person(s) legally responsible for those services to pay or reimburse the District for so much of the cost of providing protective services under this act as he or she is reasonably able to afford. The court, however, may not direct an adult in need of protective services to pay or reimburse the District for any of the cost of providing those services if the court orders the services over the continued objection of the adult after finding that the objection has been prompted by fear or intimidation instilled by another.

(b) The Corporation Counsel may, either as part of a proceeding for a protection order or in an independent court action, seek an order directing the person(s) responsible for an adult's abuse, neglect, or exploitation to pay or reimburse the District for all or part of the costs associated with conducting the investigation, appointing counsel, and providing protective services in that particular case. In so doing, the Corporation Counsel shall have the burden of proving a person's responsibility for abuse, neglect, or exploitation by a preponderance of the

evidence. Testimony of the defendant(s), and the fruits of that testimony, shall be inadmissible as evidence in a subsequent criminal trial except in a prosecution for perjury or false statement.

Sec. 12. Waiver of Privilege.

Any professional covered by the privilege established in D.C. Code, sec. 14-307, who has or had as his or her client or patient the adult alleged or determined to be in need of protective services may be required, without the consent of that adult or his or her legal representative, to testify or otherwise disclose confidential information in any court proceeding held pursuant to this act if the judge determines that the privilege should be waived in the interest of justice.

Sec. 13. Penalties and Enforcement.

- (a)(1) Any person required to report under section 4(a)(1) who willfully fails to do so shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, subject to a fine not exceeding \$300.
- (2) Any person who willfully makes a report under section 4 containing information that he or she knows to be false shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, subject to a fine not exceeding \$1,000.
- (3) Any person who willfully discloses, receives, uses, or permits the use of a report, investigative information, or other data in violation of section 4(d) shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, subject to a fine not exceeding \$1,000.

New, D.C. Code, sec. 6-2511 (1985 supp.)

New, D.C. Code, sec. 6-2512 (1985 supp.)

day

pro

agi

bro

ex:

to

th

ri

in

a٦

a

- under section 4 or testimony given in support of the allegations contained in such a report, retaliates against any other person by taking action that adversely affects the latter's rights, privileges, living arrangement, or terms of employment shall be civilly liable for any damages caused by that retaliation and, in addition, subject to punitive damages not exceeding \$5,000.
- (5) Any health-care administrator or health professional licensed in the District who willfully fails to make a report required by section 4(a)(1), or willfully makes a report under section 4 containing information that he or she knows to be false, shall be guilty of unprofessional conduct and subject to any sanction available to the governmental board, commission, or other authority responsible for his or her licensure.
 - (b) Criminal prosecutions brought under subsection (a) shall be in the Superior Court of the District of Columbia by information signed by the Corporation Counsel.
 - (c)(1) Any person who is aggrieved by a violation of this act, or who is acting on or in behalf of a person aggrieved by a violation of this act, may maintain an action in court to enjoin the continuation of that violation or the commission of any future violation. In any such action that challenges the adequacy of protective services provided under section 6(a), the court may direct the Mayor to provide additional or different services only upon a finding of bad-faith noncompliance. Should such a finding be made,

days on which, in the opinion of the court, the services provided were grossly inadequate shall not be counted against the 90-day limitation in section 6(a)(2). Actions brought under this paragraph shall, commensurate with the exigency of the circumstances alleged, be expedited pursuant to procedures to be established by the court.

(2) No right to monetary relief shall lie against the District for a violation of this act. Denial of such a right, however, shall in no way be construed to limit or impede any other action for monetary relief that might be available pursuant to other federal or District law.

Sec. 14. Reporting to Council.

No later than October 1, 1987, the Mayor shall prepare and submit to the Council a report on this act, which shall at a minimum include the following:

- New, D.C. Code, sec. 6-2513 (1985 supp.)
- (1) a description of the specific actions taken to implement this act, including the staffing pattern and budget of the responsible administrative unit;
 - (2) an analysis by fiscal year of:
- (A) the number of cases reported,investigations conducted, and reports substantiated;
- (B) the characteristics of both substantiated and unsubstantiated cases, including a breakdown by age, impairment, individual reporting, police involvement, services refused, and type of abuse, neglect, or exploitation involved;
- (C) the number of cases referred to court, the reasons for referral, their outcome, and associated

court costs;

appl 1985

- (D) the type, amount, and cost of protective services provided;
- (E) the percentage of cost reimbursed by or recouped from third parties; and
- (F) the status of substantiated cases at the end of 90 days of services, and the average number of days of services per case; and
- (3) any recommendations for amendments to this act.

Sec. 15. Effective Date; Applicability Delayed.

- (a) This act shall take effect after a 30-day period of Congressional review following approval by the Mayor (or in the event of a veto by the Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), as provided in section 602(c)(1) of the District of Columbia Self-Government and Governmental Reorganization Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Code, sec. 1-233(c)(1)).
 - (b) Except as provided in section 4(e), the

Note, D.C. secs. 6-24 to -2513 (1985 sup applicability of this act shall be delayed until october

1985.

Council of the District of Columbia

Mayor District of Columbia

APPROVED: December 7, 1984

Note, D.C. 03 secs. 6-2501 to -2513 (1985 supp.)